

Misconception Taxonomy (v1)

What this is: a structured “error database” we use to grade diagnostics and fix the *root causes* behind lost marks in Calculus and Physics.

How to use this document

When you submit your Diagnostic Test, we don’t only mark answers right or wrong. We tag the reason a mistake happened using short codes (for example **C-A1** or **P-N1**). Those tags make your tutoring plan precise: the right drills, the right visualizations, and the right problem types—in the right order.

How to read the codes

C- = Calculus, **P-** = Physics. The middle letter groups the skill area (e.g., **D** for derivatives, **N** for Newton’s Laws). Each code maps to: (1) what it looks like, (2) the fast fix we apply, and (3) a mastery check we use next session.

What you’ll see in your results

- Your **top 2–3 error patterns** (highest mark loss).
- A targeted practice plan aligned to those patterns.
- A weekly update showing which patterns are shrinking and what’s next.

Note: This is the public-facing summary (v1). Your personal report will reference only the patterns that show up in *your* work.

Calculus Error Patterns (C- codes)

These are the most common high-impact patterns we see in Calculus diagnostics and exams.

| Code | Pattern | What it looks like | Fast fix (what we train) |
|------|---|---|--|
| C-F1 | Algebra collapses under pressure | Correct idea; wrong simplification or sign flip. | Slow-algebra lane + micro-drills; verify by back-substitution. |
| C-F2 | Domain/range blind spot | Loses restrictions (logs, radicals, denominators). | Domain checklist: denominator $\neq 0$, radicand ≥ 0 , log argument > 0 . |
| C-L1 | Cancels before factoring | Cancels $(x-a)$ without factoring first; misses indeterminate form. | Factor-first rule; rewrite, then cancel; quick plug-in check. |
| C-L2 | "Limit = plug in" overgeneralized | Treats every limit as direct substitution. | 3-case classifier: substitution works / removable / infinite or jump. |
| C-L3 | Infinity misconceptions | Thinks ∞ is a number; mishandles dominant terms. | Dominant-term routine; divide by highest power; sanity with growth rates. |
| C-D1 | Derivative is a procedure, not meaning | Computes $f'(x)$ but can't use slope/tangent/units. | Local linearization: $f(a)+f'(a)(x-a)$; interpret slope on graph. |
| C-D2 | Chain rule blindness | Misses inner derivative; especially radicals, exponentials. | Inside-out annotation; circle inner function; write $d(\text{inner})/dx$ explicitly. |
| C-D3 | Product/quotient rule mix-up | Uses wrong rule or expands unnecessarily. | Rule cards + minimal form; check with quick derivative sanity test. |
| C-A1 | Modeling/setup breakdown (optimization) | Can differentiate, but objective/constraint are wrong. | Setup Protocol: variables \rightarrow constraint \rightarrow objective \rightarrow reduce to 1 variable. |
| C-A2 | Related rates translation failure | Writes unrelated equations or wrong time derivatives. | Diagram + variable dictionary; differentiate once; substitute at the end. |
| C-I1 | Integral as "anti-derivative only" | Struggles with area/accumulation/FTC meaning. | Units + accumulation story; connect area under curve to net change. |
| C-I2 | Substitution chosen randomly | Picks u without matching du ; gets stuck. | Match-du method: choose inner; compute du ; look for constant multiple. |
| C-I3 | Definite integral sign confusion | Area vs net area mixed; negative results surprise. | Net-change framing; split at zeros; interpret sign via graph. |
| C-S1 | Series decision tree missing | Applies tests incorrectly; ignores conditions. | Archetype set (p , geometric, alternating, comparison); decision tree. |

Physics Error Patterns (P- codes)

These are the most common high-impact patterns we see in Physics diagnostics and exams.

| Code | Pattern | What it looks like | Fast fix (what we train) |
|-------|------------------------------------|--|---|
| P-V1 | Vector-direction confusion | Signs wrong; swaps components; wrong angle reference. | Axis discipline + component triangle; sketch before equations. |
| P-V2 | Units/scale negligence | No unit checks; answers off by 10x or 100x. | Unit ledger + prefix practice; end-of-line unit check every time. |
| P-K1 | Kinematics as memorized formulas | Chooses wrong equation; ignores initial conditions. | Derive once from $a = dv/dt$; use function-based approach $x(t)$, $v(t)$. |
| P-K2 | Graph interpretation errors | Confuses slope vs area; misreads sign regions. | Slope/area rules + quick shaded-area practice; verbalize meaning. |
| P-N1 | Free-body diagram missing forces | Leaves out normal, tension, friction, or components. | Contact checklist: gravity, normal, friction, tension, applied; label axes. |
| P-N2 | Action-reaction pair confusion | Pairs forces on same object; violates Newton's 3rd. | Rule: same interaction, different objects; identify the pair explicitly. |
| P-N3 | Friction direction guessed | Friction pointed wrong; uses μN blindly. | Friction opposes relative motion; decide impending motion first; then apply. |
| P-E1 | Energy sign/reference confusion | Wrong zero level; mixes PE/KE changes. | Energy ledger + define reference; write ΔE terms consistently. |
| P-E2 | Conservation conditions ignored | Uses conservation with external work present (friction, thrust). | Checklist: isolated system? nonconservative work? then choose method. |
| P-M1 | Momentum before collision modeling | Skips system definition; mixes 1D/2D vectors. | Define system + direction; conserve components; diagram with before/after. |
| P-C1 | Centripetal as extra force | Adds "Fc" as a new force instead of net inward. | Centripetal is a requirement: $\Sigma F_{\text{radial}} = mv^2/r$; identify real forces. |
| P-C2 | Constraint reasoning missing | String/track/normal constraints not used; wrong equations. | Constraint statements: no slack, contact, geometric relation; write before solving. |
| P-EM1 | Field vs force confusion | Treats E as force; forgets test charge dependence. | Definitions: $E = F/q$; separate source vs test; direction conventions. |
| P-EM2 | Circuits: series/parallel mix-up | Wrong equivalent R; mixes current/voltage rules. | Rule cards + two-node method; practice quick reductions. |

Next step: Pair this taxonomy with your Diagnostic score + subscores to create a focused 1–2 week plan. Fastest improvement usually comes from fixing the highest-frequency pattern first (often setup/modeling and diagram discipline).